

ELSEVIER Thermochimica Acta 296 (1997) 149-153

therm0chimica acta

Thermal behaviour of starch and oxidized starch

Aisha A.A. Soliman*, Nabila A. E1-Shinnawy, Fardous Mobarak

Cellulose and Paper Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Received 21 November 1995; received in revised form 16 March 1996: accepted I1 December 1996

Abstract

Thermal gravimetric analysis, and differential thermal behaviour of unoxidized and oxidized starch were studied. Degradation was found to be first order in sample weight reaction. The activation energy values and the rate constants were calculated from the kinetic of weight loss. Unoxidized starch was found to be less stable than oxidized starch and the stability of the samples was arranged in the order of oxidized starch with 8 g active CI/1 > 4 g active CI/1 > 6 g active CI/1 > unoxidized starch. Volatilization and activation energies of oxidized starch were higher than unoxidized starch. DTA of unoxidized and oxidized starch show that the degradation is exothermic. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Thermal; Gravimetric analysis; Starch

employed to determine temperatures and rates of tical techniques are reviewed along with factors affectpyrolysis while differential thermal analysis (DTA) ing the results [5]. curves show the endothermic or exothermic nature of The aim of the present work is to sludy the thermal the reactions that accompany pyrolysis and combus- behaviour of starch and oxidized starch by thermotion. Such information is very valuable in assessing gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal the chemistry of the thermal decomposition of differ- analysis (DTA) techniques and also to calculate the ent products. Activation energies (derived from the kinetic energy for the degradation reaction and the Arrhenius equation) and volatilization rates for cellu-
type of the reaction. lose and cellulosic materials were determined in 1956 by Madorsky et al. [1]. Many workers [2,3] noted firstorder kinetic relationships for the pyrolysis. The ther- 2. Experimental mogravimetric analysis of hemicellulose obtained from different agricultural residues [4] was investi- Maize starch was oxidized with sodium hypochlorgated. Holo- and hemicellulose obtained from agri- ite solution (NaOCI) of known concentrations by

1. Introduction cultural sources are different, mainly in their chemical composition, which certainly affect their thermal Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) can be behaviour [4]. The principles of major thermoanaly-

adjusting the pH at 7 and using a liquor ratio of 10 : 1. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h *Corresponding author, α at 25° C with stirring. The sample was then filtered,

^{0040-6031/97/\$17.00 © 1997} Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *PII* S0040-6031(97)00040-3

washed thoroughly with distilled water and dried at 60° C in an oven [6].

Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differen-Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA), were carried out on starch and oxidized starches using a Perkin-Elmer Thermoand oxidized starches using a Perkin-Elmer Thermogravimetric analyzer TGA 7. The heating rate was
adjusted to 10 K min⁻¹. Heating was continued to a adjusted to 10 K min^{-1} . Heating was continued to a constant weight in a stream of nitrogen.

Figs. 1-4 show the TGA of unoxidized maize starch and starch oxidized by 4, 6 and 8 active C1/1 respectively. The figures show the percentage loss in weight as a function of temperature. Three distinct zones can be seen: $\frac{z}{4}$

seen:
a - Represents the evaporation of water and other easily volatile materials below 150°C. easily volatile materials below 150°C.
b – The main degradation zone.

-
- c The propagation reaction.

Table 1 gives the temperature of pyrolysis which $\sqrt{\tau_1 \tau_2}$ temperature of pyrolysis and T_3 is the temperature of Fig. 3. T.G.A. of oxidized starch by 6 g active CI/I. final pyrolysis.

Table 1 shows that non oxidized starch has a higher initial and maximum temperature than starch oxidized by 4, 6, and 8 g active Cl/l.

Fig. 1. T.G.A. of unoxidized maize starch. the initial weight.

3.1. Thermal gravimetric analysis **Fig. 2. T.G.A. of oxidized starch by 4 g** active CVI.

Fig. 4. T.G.A. of oxidized starch by 8 g active CI/I.

Percent volatilization at 250, 350, 550 \degree C is used to $\frac{0}{\sigma}$ $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ indicate the degradation rate and defined as a percent ratio of weight loss at specific temperature divided by

Table 1 Thermogravimetric analysis of starch and oxidized starch

Sample	Temperature of active pyrolysis $(^{\circ}C)$			Volatilization (%)		
	Initial temperature	Maximum temperature	Final temperature	250° C	350°C	450° C
Insoluble unoxidized starch	275	305			78	84
Starch oxidized with 4 g active Cl/l	250	300		16	80	84
Starch oxidized with 6 g active CI/I	237	290		19	76	80
Starch oxidized with 8 g active Cl/I	230	285		27	75	82

% volatilization = (weight loss at a specific temperature/initial weight) \times 100

Percent volatilization of oxidized starch with 8 g Figs. 5-8 indicate that the degradation follows a active Cl/l is higher than that of the oxidized starch first-order reaction [8]. The activation energy was with 6, 4 g active Cl/l and the unoxidized starch at calculated by applying the Arrhenius equation [9] temperature 250°C. where,

4. Calculation of activation energy

The data obtained by TGA were analyzed by differential method used by Tang $[7]$. Assuming a first-order $\frac{1}{2}$ reaction for thermal degradation, the reaction rate constant, k , and the activation energy, E , in the main decomposition temperature region (200-430 $^{\circ}$ C) were 7.1 calculated from the relation:

$$
-dc/dt = kc
$$

where *c* is the concentration of the reactant, *t*, the time and *k*, the rate constant. If the concentration is $\sum_{i=5}^{8}$ replaced by the observed weight, *W*, then,

$$
d(W_0 - W_t)/dt = k(W_0 - W_t) = kW_t
$$

where W_0 is the original weight before heating, W_t , the weight after heating for a time t, then, $\begin{bmatrix} 3.1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $-\ln(W_0 - (W_0 - W_t)) = -\ln W_t = kt + constant$

but, at $t=0$, $(W_0-W_t)=0$, the constant is equal to -In W_o , and therefore,

$$
\ln(W_{o}/W_{t})=kt.
$$

If the amount of ash at the end of heating is considered, $\qquad \qquad$ 0.1 then,

$$
\ln(W_{0}-W_{\infty})=kt,
$$

where W_{∞} is the weight at the end of heating (ash). The contract W_{∞} is the veright at the end of heating (ash). Plots of $ln(W_0-W_\infty)/(W_t-W_\infty)$ against t gave Fig. 5. Plots of $ln((W_0-W_\infty)/(W_t-W_\infty))$ against time of starch raw straight lines. The straight lines is the straight lines. The straight lines is material (non-modified).

$$
\ln k = -E/RT
$$

that is,

$$
-E=\ln kRT
$$

stant, k, and the activation energy, E, of the unoxidized arranged in the order of oxidized starch by 8 g active maize starch, starch oxidized by 4 g active Cl/l, 6 g Cl/l > 4 g active Cl/l > 6 g active Cl/l > unoxidized active Cl/l and 8 g active Cl/l. starch.

starch by 4 g active Cl/l. **Fig. 7.** Plots of $ln[(W_0-W_\infty)/(W_t-W_\infty)]$ against time of oxidized starch by 6 g active CI/l.

From Table 2 it is clear that activation energy of **oxidized starch by 8 g active C1/I is greater than 6 and** where, E is the activation energy, R the gas constant $4 g$ active Cl/l, than the unoxidized starch, which and T the absolute temperature. Shows that the unoxidized starch is less stable than \overline{a} **Table 2 shows the values of the reaction rate con- oxidized starch and the stability of the samples was**

Table 2

Reaction rate constant (k) and activation energy (E) and the carboxyl content for unoxidized starch, oxidized starch with 4 g active CI/1, by 6 g **active CI/I and** 8 g active C1/1

Sample	k (min ⁻¹⁾	E (K _i mol ⁻¹)	Carboxyl content m.eq. $CO2H/100 g$ starch		
Insoluble unoxidized starch	0 2 8 3	1.1445	2.8		
Starch oxidized with 4 g active CI/I	0.145	2.0198	5.8		
Starch oxidized with 6 g active Cl/l	0.155	1.7636	6.4		
Starch oxidized with 8 g active Cl/l	0.136	2.0868	6.8		

starch by 8 g active CI/I. Stand., 56 (1956) 343.

The changes in the activation energies for the main (1973) 3095.
degradation step and stability of starch and oxidized [4] M.Z. Sefain. starch is due to the change of carboxyl content of Science, 23 (1985) 1569–1577. **oxidized starch. [51 T. Nguyen, E. Zavarin, E.M. Barrall, II, J. Macromol. Sci/**

Marcel Dekker lnr. Ny]. (DTA) of unoxidized starch and oxidized starch with [6] A. Hebeish, I. Abd EI-Thalouth, R. Refai and A. Ragheb, 4, 6 and 8 g active CI/I respectively. It shows that an Starch/Starke, 41 (1989) Nr. 8, S. 293-298. exothermic reaction is present. In unoxidized starch a [7] W.K. Tang, U.S. Forest Serv. Res. Pap. EPL., 71 (1967). peak at 287°C represents the main degradation step. [8] E. Chronet and C. Roy, Thermochim. Acta, 35 (1980) 389.
On the other hand, an axothermia neak occurred in [9] S. Glasstone, Text Book of Physical Chemistry, Macmil On the other hand, an exothermic peak occurred in **[91 S. Glasstone, Text Book** of Physical Chemistry, Macmillan, **Macmillan, 2019** and **Chemistry**, **Macmillan, oxidized starch by 4, 6 and 8 g active CI/1 at 279, 271** *[10] M.V. Ramiah, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 14 (1970) 1323.**[10] M.V. Ramiah, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 14 (1970) 1323.* **and 267°C, respectively. It should be noted that, the**

o Fig. 9. D.T.A. comparison of unoxidized and oxidized starch.

magnitude of this exothermic are much higher and sharper in case of unoxidized starch than oxidized o.6 b b starch. In case of oxidized starch, interference by the starch is a starch of α or β and γ and γ is tarch. In case of oxidized starch, interference by the **oxidation reaction produces a broad peak, which,**

- **Fig. 8. Plots of** *In[(Wo-W~)l(Wr-Wo~)]* **against time of oxidized** [1] S.I. Madorsky, V.E. **Hart and S. Straas,** J. Res. Natl. Bur.
	- **[2] DF. Arseneau, Can. J. Chem., 49 (1971) 632.**
	- **[3] A. Basch and M. Lewin, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 11**
	- **[4] M.Z. Sefain, S.F. El-Kalyoubi and N. Shukry, J. Polymer**
	- **Fig. 9 illustrates the differential thermal curves** Rev. Macromol. Chem., 20, No. 1, 1-65 **(1981)**. [Avail. from Marcel Dekker In: Ny].
		-
		-
		-
		-
		-